UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/553,108                      | 10/12/2005                 | Nobuo Mochizuki      | 20241/0203481-US0   | 8647             |  |
| 7278<br>DARBY & DA              | 7590 10/27/200<br>RBY P.C. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| P.O. BOX 770<br>Church Street S | tation                     | HAVLIN, ROBERT H     |                     |                  |  |
| New York, NY                    |                            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                                 |                            |                      | 1626                |                  |  |
|                                 |                            |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                 |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                 |                            |                      | 10/27/2009          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/553,108      | MOCHIZUKI ET AL. |  |
|                 |                  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |

|  | ROBERT HAVLIN  | 1626   |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>19 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | R ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | which places the r (3) a Request         |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE           | date of the final rejection                                | on.                                      |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>thortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be   | out prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered be                                     | icalice                                  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor<br>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);  | E below);  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet  | ter form for appeal by materially rec  | ducing or simplifying th                                   | ne issues for                            |
| appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a (   | corresponding number of finally reje   | ected claims.  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | 21 See attached Nation of Non Co.  | mpliant Amandment (  | DTOL 224\                                |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>  |  | ripliant Amendment (                                       | FTOL-324).                               |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |  | imely filed amendmer                                       | nt canceling the                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | be entered and an e  | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5,13-15,17,18 and 20</u> .<br>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16 and 19</u> .  |  |  |  |
| <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u><br>8.   | t before or on the date of filing a No   | tice of Anneal will not                                    | t he entered                             |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | ll and/or appellant fail<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1           | s to provide a<br>).                     |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br>See Continuation Sheet.  | t does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |  |
| /Robert Havlin/  | /Kamal A Saeed/  |  |  |
| Examiner, Art Unit 1626  | Primary Examiner, Art U  | nit 1626   |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that "the '516 patent describes 'the excellent effects of the compounds according to the present invention....' thereby teaching away from making any substitutions to the compounds. This does not amount to a teaching away, therefore the request for reconsideration is not persuasive. In addition, with respect to the declaration, applicants arguments with respect to the statistical significance are not persuasive, in part because evidence of this sort is properly presented in a declaration and the t-test does not show how unpredictable activities are when structures vary substantially.

In addition, because the prior examination was restricted to the elected species, further consideration and search is required before any claims could be considered in allowable form.